

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/280,699 03/30/99 MATSUMURA

T 990377

023850 TM02/1023
ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI,
MCLELAND & NAUGHTON, LLP
1725 K STREET, NW, SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON DC 20006

EXAMINER

WOLDETATIOS, Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2684

DATE MAILED:

10/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/280,699

Applicant(s)

MATSUMURA, TAKAHIRO

Examiner

Yemane Woldetatis

Art Unit

2684

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 7 and 10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 4, 7 and 10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braitberg et al. (5479479).

Claims 1, 4, 7 and 10. Braitberg discloses a telephone set identifying method and apparatus for identifying a type of portable telephone set to which a data processing apparatus is coupled, comprising the steps of:

Braitberg does not mention identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on a response with respect to an operation start signal which is output to a data interface part of the portable telephone set. However, Braitberg discloses means for identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on presenting a coded signal (col. 2 line 62 to col. 3 line 8 and col. 11 line 56 to col. 12 line 11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Braitberg, by adding means for identifying the type of the portable telephone set based on a response with respect to an operation start signal in order to optimize system's identification means for identifying the type of portable telephone sets and adopting the connector accordingly.

Response to Election/Restrictions

3. Applicant's choice of claims 1, 4, 7 and 10 without traverse has been accepted.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Braitberg et al. (5535274 and 5333177), O'Sullivan (5640444, 5353334 and 5127041), Nakasu et al. (6301472) and Kato et al. (6263202) teach universal connector between different types of portable telephone sets and a data processor in a radio communications network .

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yemane Woldetatos whose telephone number is 703-308-9596. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9-18:30, off 1st Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Hunter can be reached on 703-308-6732. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-6306 for regular communications and 703-308-6296 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Yemane Woldetatos

Examiner

Art Unit 2684



Application/Control Number: 09/280,699

Page 4

Art Unit: 2684

yw

October 18, 2001

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thanh Cong Le', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

THANH CONG LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER